

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/910,641	HOLDEN, PERRIANN M.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John J Calvert	3765	

All Participants:

(1) John J Calvert.

(2) Mr. Jerry Potts.

Status of Application: After-Final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 June 2003

Time: 10:30 a.m. EDT

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Potential 112 1st paragraph

Claims discussed:
At least claims 4 and 5.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Potential 112 1st paragraph issue with respect to kit claim providing a design for the adhesive material. This is not disclosed in the specification as originally filed. Further, it appears that an election of species should have been required since there are distinct inventions disclosed and claimed. A new Office Action directed to at least the two discussed PTO errors will be forth-coming. .